

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1459 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
I 1			CONFINMATION NO.
09/842,746 04/25/2001	Si Lok	99-37	7363
7590 07/15/2003			
Phillip Jones		EXAMINER	
ZymoGenetics, Inc. 1201 Eastlake Avenue East		KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T	
Seattle, WA 98102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1636	8
		DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/842,746	LOK, SI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Konstantina Katch ves	1636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	o ars on the cover sheet with the (correspond nce address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	403 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		



Application/Control Number: 09/842,746

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-14 are currently under consideration.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Claims 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No.7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harney et al. (US Patent No. 6,495,318).

Harney et al. disclose a multicomponent transcription unit comprising assembling multiple constructs having non-palindromic terminal sequences. The expression construct assemble comprises a selectable marker, such as gfp or hygromycin resistance gene, and a gene of interest. See abstract; figure 1; and column 55, line 38 to column 56, line 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,746

Art Unit: 1636

. .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harney et al.

Harney et al. disclose a multicomponent transcription unit comprising assembling multiple constructs having non-palindromic terminal sequences. The expression construct assemble comprises a selectable marker, such as gfp or hygromycin resistance gene, and a gene of interest. See abstract; figure 1; and column 55, line 38 to column 56, line 10. Harney et al., however, fails to teach mechanical shearing as in claim 3, the polymerases of claim 6 and the restriction enzymes of claims 13 and 14.

On page, 22 of the specification Applicant points to class IIS restriction enzymes and non-class IIS restriction enzymes known in the art citing Szybalski, Brown and Ausubel, for example. On page 23, Applicant discloses the known T4 DNA polymerase used to generate non-palindromic ends citing Kuiper et al. Applicant also admits that mechanical shearing of oligonucleotides is a known technique in the art on page 24, citing Ausubel et al. "When applicant states that something is prior art, it is taken as being available as prior art against the claims. *In re Nomiya*, 509 F.2d 566, 184 USPQ 607." See MPEP 2129.

Art Unit: 1636

The invention of the present claims using the admitted enzymes and techniques to make a multicomponent transcription unit comprising assembling multiple constructs having non-palindromic terminal sequences as disclosed by Harney et al. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. As admitted by Applicant, the claims restriction enzymes and polynucleases are known in the art. Since these enzymes and techniques are germane to the art of molecular biology one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any one of the claimed enzymes and techniques to in the method of producing a multicomponent construct. Moreover, one of skill in the art would have reasonably expected success in their use. Therefore, absent evidence to the contrary, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 305-1999. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,746

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-7939 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Konstantina Katcheves July 7, 2003

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER